## Solution to Homework 10

## Sec. 6.5

2. (c) Consider the characteristic polynomial of  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3-3i \\ 3+3i & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (2 - \lambda)(5 - \lambda) - (3 - 3i)(3 + 3i) = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda - 8$$

By solving  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ , we have  $\lambda = -1$  or  $\lambda = 8$ . For  $\lambda = -1$ , we have

$$N(A+I) = \operatorname{span}(\{(-1+i,1)^t\}).$$

For  $\lambda = 8$ , we have

$$N(A - 8I) = \operatorname{span}(\{(1, 1 + i)^t\}).$$

By normalizing the two directions, we have

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1+i & 1\\ 1 & 1+i \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- 4. Note that  $[T]_{\beta} = (z)$ , where  $\beta$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{C}^1$  (orthonormal). Then we have  $[T*]_{\beta} = (\overline{z})$  and  $T_z^* = T_{\overline{z}}$ . In other words,  $T_z^*(u) = \overline{z}u$ . Hence, we see that  $T_z$  is always normal, self-adjoint when z is real, and unitary when |z| = 1.
- (c) Consider the characteristic polynomial of the matrix on the left, one can easily check that 1 and ±i are the eigenvalues. While for the matrix on the right, the eigenvalues are, obviously, −1, 0 and 2. Hence, They are not unitarily equivalent.
- 7. Suppose T is unitary. There exists an orthonormal basis  $\beta$  such that  $T(\beta)$  is an orthonormal basis for V. In other words, we have

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $|\lambda_i| = 1$ . Then, by defining  $\mu_i$  such that  $\mu_i^2 = \lambda_i$ , we have  $|\mu_i| = 1$ . Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \mu_2 & & 0\\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and define U such that  $[U]_{\beta} = D$ . Then we see that  $U^2 = T$  and U is a unitary operator.

9. Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $U : V \to V$  with U(a, b) = (a + b, 0). Let  $\beta = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$  be an orthonormal basis for V. Then we see that

$$||U(1,0)|| = ||U(0,1)|| = ||(1,0)|| = ||(0,1)|| = 1.$$

However,  $||U(1,1)|| = 2 \neq \sqrt{2} = ||(1,1)||$ . Hence, we see that U may not be unitary.

13. Consider 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . So,  $A$  and  $B$  are similar.  
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

But they are not unitarily equivalent as A is symmetric while B is not.

- 15. (a) Since U is unitary, we have  $||U_W(x)|| = ||U(x)|| = ||x||$ . This means that  $U_W$  is injective. As W is of finite dimension,  $U_W$  is also surjective by considering the rank and nullity. Hence, U(W) = W.
  - (b) Note that  $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$  and  $U(x) \in V$ . For any  $x \in W^{\perp}$ ,

$$U(x) = w + y$$

for some  $w \in W$  and  $y \in W^{\perp}$ . To show that  $W^{\perp}$  is U-invariant, we need to show w = 0. From (a), we see that  $U_W$  is surjective, so there is some  $v \in W$  such that U(v) = w. As U is unitary, we have  $\|v\| = \|w\|$ . Similarly, we have

$$||x||^{2} = ||U(x)||^{2} = ||w + y||^{2} = ||w||^{2} + ||y||^{2},$$

where the last equality is by the orthogonality of w and y. Besides, we get U(x + v) = 2w + y and

$$||x||^{2} + ||v||^{2} = ||x+v||^{2} = ||2w+y||^{2} = 4 ||w||^{2} + ||y||^{2}.$$

Then one can easily solve that  $||w||^2 = 0$ , which means w = 0.

16. Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an orthonormal basis for V. Consider an operator U defined by

$$\begin{cases} U(e_1) = e_2 \\ U(e_{2i+1}) = e_{2i-1} & \text{for } i \ge 1 \\ U(e_{2i}) = e_{2i+2} & \text{for } i \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

One can easily check that U is unitary.

$$||U(x)|| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i = ||x||$$
, where  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i$ 

Then for the subspace  $W = \text{span}(\{e_2, e_4, e_6, \dots\})$ , we see that W is U-invariant. However,  $W^{\perp}$  is not U-invariant as  $U(e_1) = e_2 \notin W^{\perp}$ .

## Sec. 6.6

4. Suppose T is the orthogonal projection of V on W. Then we have

$$R(T)^{\perp} = N(T), \quad N(T)^{\perp} = R(T)$$

and R(T) = W. If we have R(I-T) = N(T) and N(I-T) = R(T), then  $R(I-T)^{\perp} = N(I-T)$  and  $N(I-T)^{\perp} = R(I-T)$ , which means I-T is an orthogonal projection. With  $T = T^2$ , we have the following. For any  $(I-T)(x) \in R(I-T)$ , we have

$$T(I - T)(x) = T(x) - T^{2}(x) = T(x) - T(x) = 0,$$

so  $(I - T)(x) \in N(T)$ . If  $x \in N(T)$ , then we have

$$x = (I - T)(x) \in R(I - T).$$

Hence, R(I - T) = N(T).

For any  $x \in N(I - T)$ , we have (I - T)(x) = 0, which means

$$x = T(x) \in R(T).$$

If  $T(x) \in R(T)$ , then we have

$$(I - T)(T(x)) = T(x) - T^{2}(x) = T(x) - T(x) = 0.$$

so  $T(x) \in N(I - T)$ . Hence, N(I - T) = R(T).

With the above, we have the following.

$$R(I - T)^{\perp} = N(T)^{\perp} = R(T) = N(I - T)$$
$$N(I - T)^{\perp} = R(T)^{\perp} = N(T) = R(I - T)$$

In other words, I - T is an orthogonal projection. Moreover, we have  $R(I - T) = N(T) = R(T)^{\perp} = W^{\perp}$ , so I - T is the orthogonal projection of V on  $W^{\perp}$ .

6. Let T be a projection of a finite-dimensional inner product space. We need to show that  $R(T)^{\perp} = N(T)$  and  $N(T)^{\perp} = R(T)$ . For any  $x \in R(T)^{\perp}$ , we have

$$\langle T(x), T(x) \rangle = \langle x, T^*(T(x)) \rangle = \langle x, T(T^*(x)) \rangle = 0,$$

which means T(x) = 0 and  $x \in N(T)$ . If  $x \in N(T)$ , then T(x) = 0, which means x is an eigenvector of T with respect to eigenvalue 0. Since T is normal, x is an eigenvector of  $T^*$  with respect to eigenvalue 0, too. Then for any  $T(y) \in R(T)$ , we have

$$\langle x, T(y) \rangle = \langle T^*(x), y \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,  $R(T)^{\perp} = N(T)$ . Since the space is of finite dimension, we have

$$N(T)^{\perp} = \left(R(T)^{\perp}\right)^{\perp} = R(T).$$

Thus, T is an orthogonal projection.

7. (a) Using the fact that  $T_iT_j = \delta_{ij}T_j$ , we have

$$g(T) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i T_i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j} \alpha_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i T_i\right)^j$$
$$= \sum_{j} \alpha_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^j T_i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{j} \alpha_j \lambda_i^j\right) T_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g(\lambda_i) T_i.$$

(b) Similarly, by  $T_i T_j = \delta_{ij} T_j$ , we have

$$T_0 = T^n = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^n T_i.$$

For any eigenvector  $v_i$  with respect to eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , we have

$$0 = T_0(v_i) = T^n(v_i) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^n T_i\right)(v_i) = \lambda_i^n v_i,$$

which means  $\lambda_i = 0$ . Since this is true for all *i*, we have

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i T_i = T_0.$$

(c) Suppose U commutes with each  $T_i$ . Then we have

$$UT = U\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i T_i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i UT_i$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i T_i U$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i T_i\right) U = TU$$

Conversely, suppose U commutes with T. Note that for each  $T_i$ , there exists some polynomial  $g_i$  such that  $g_i(T) = T_i$ . Then we have

$$UT_i = Ug_i(T) = g_i(T)U = T_iU.$$

(d) Note that  $T_iT_j = \delta_{ij}T_j$  and  $T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i T_i$ . Let

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} T_i.$$

Then one can easily check that  $U^2 = T$ . Since  $T_i$  are self-adjoint, that is  $T_i$  is normal, thus U is normal, too.

- (e) Note that V is finite-dimensional. So T is invertible if and only if N(T) = 0. But this means 0 is not an eigenvalue of T.
- (f) Suppose T is a projection of V on W along  $W^{\perp}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be eigenvalue and  $v \in V$  be the corresponding eigenvector. Then there is some  $w \in W$  and  $y \in W^{\perp}$  such that v = w + y. So, we have

$$= T(w+y) = \lambda(w+y)$$
$$(1-\lambda)w = \lambda y.$$

This means that  $\lambda$  can only be 1 or 0.

w

(g) Suppose  $T = -T^*$ . Note that if  $\lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue of T, then  $\overline{\lambda_i}$  will be an eigenvalue of  $T^*$ . Let  $v_i$  be the eigenvector with respect to eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . It follows that

$$\lambda_i v_i = T v_i = -T^* v_i = -\overline{\lambda_i} v_i,$$

which means every  $\lambda_i$  is an imaginary number. Conversely, if every  $\lambda_i$  is an imaginary number, then  $\overline{\lambda_i} = -\lambda_i$ . Note that  $T_i$  is self-adjoint. Then we have

$$T^* = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i T_i\right)^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{\lambda_i} T_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^k (-\lambda_i) T_i = -T,$$

which means  $T = -T^*$ .

10. We prove the statement by induction on the dimension of V,  $n = \dim(V)$ .

When n = 1, the statement is trivial. Now suppose the statement holds for  $n \leq k - 1$ , we consider n = k.

Pick an arbitrary eigenspace  $W = E_{\lambda}$  of T with respect to some eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Obviously, W is T-invariant. Note that W is also U-invariant as U(w) is an eigenvector of T with respect to eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

$$TU(w) = UT(w) = \lambda U(w)$$

If W = V, by Theorem 6.17, as U is self-adjoint, we may find an orthonormal basis  $\beta$  for V consisting of eigenvectors of U. But  $\beta$  are also orthonormal eigenvectors of T, so the result follows.

On the other hand, if W is a proper subspace of V, we may find  $\beta$  in following way.

Note that  $T_W$  and  $U_W$  are normal by Exercise 7 and 8 of Section 6.4. Using the induction hypothesis, we may choose an orthonormal basis  $\beta_1$  for W consisting of eigenvectors of  $T_W$  and  $U_W$ , which are eigenvectors of T and U too.

Similarly, we see that  $W^{\perp}$  is  $T^*$ -invariant and  $U^*$ -invariant. But T and U are normal, so  $W^{\perp}$  is T-invariant and U-invariant. Again, by the induction hypothesis, we may choose an orthonormal basis  $\beta_2$  for  $W^{\perp}$  consisting of eigenvectors of T and U.

Let  $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . As V is of finite dimension, we see that  $\beta$  is a basis for V consisting of eigenvectors of T and U. Hence, the statement is also true for n = k.