Topics:

$$(\boldsymbol{f} \circ \boldsymbol{g}, \ \operatorname{exp}, \ \operatorname{ln})$$

1. Review of Logarithm

In school, we learned that $\log_{10} x$ is given by

$$^{*}10^{y} = x \iff y = \log_{10} x$$

Supposing that the above equation has always a solution. We can argue (by 'analogy') that 10 is not the only choice for "base" as any positive real number works. So if we choose e (see "Comments" below for a definition of e) to be the base and obtain

$$e^y = x$$

then we have

$$e^{\log_e x} = x.$$

Notation

We will often abbreviate $\log_e x$ by the symbol $\ln x$.

Comments

(1) Assuming the equation $e^{\ln x} = x$, x > 0, together with the fact that (we'll prove it in point (3) below!)

$$e^w = \exp(w),$$

where w is any real no., we will show that the functions "ln" and "exp" are <u>inverse</u> functions of each other.

(In the Appendix section, i.e. section 3 below, we will discuss two things: (i) the concept of "inverse function" and (ii) under what conditions there exists an inverse function to a given function).

(2) What is the number e? We define (there are other definitions!) e to be the number given by the infinite sum

$$e = \exp(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \cdots$$

^{*}How do we know this equation has solution y if the given x is not a natural no.?

(3) Using the above definition of e (which is approximately 2.718...), we can make sense of what we mean by e^w (at least) in the case when w is a natural number. In such a case, e^w is just given by the following expression:

(1.1)
$$e^{w} = \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}\right]^{w}, \text{ where } w = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
$$= \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{w}{z}\right)^{z},$$

where $z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} nw$ (which is also a natural no.)

However, if we want to obtain e^w for any real no. w, (not only for natural nos.!) then we have to use the "Generalized Binomial Theorem (GBT)", [†] where z is now any real no., to compute the term $(1 + \frac{w}{z})^z$ in (1.1).

Doing this, we get a completely analogous formula to (1.1) above, i.e.

For simplicity, we assume
$$w > 0!$$

$$\left[\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n\right]^w = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{nw}$$
Now, let $z = nw$, then $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{w}{z}$ (or $n = \frac{z}{w}$), and we get
 $\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{nw} = \left(1 + \frac{w}{z}\right)^z$
As $n \to \infty$ we obtain $z \to \infty$, hence
(1.2) $e^w = \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{w}{z}\right)^z$
(• But now $z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} nw$ is a real no., because w is a real no.!)

 $\mathbf{2}$

[†]GBT says: $(1 + x)^{\alpha} = 1 + \alpha + \frac{\alpha \cdot (\alpha - 1)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{\alpha \cdot (\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)}{3!}x^3 + \cdots$, where in the 'numerator', one can put any real no., but in the denominator, <u>only</u> natural numbers. (Question) For what values of x is this formula valid?

Conclusion Since

(1.3)
$$\exp(w) = 1 + w + \frac{w}{2!} + \frac{w^3}{3!} + \cdots$$
$$= \lim_{\widehat{z} \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{w}{\widehat{z}} \right)^{\widetilde{z}}, \text{ where } \widetilde{z} \text{ is any natural no.}$$

(1.4) =
$$\lim_{\hat{z} \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{w}{z}\right)^z$$
 where z is any real no.
= e^w

therefore, we conclude that

(1.5)
$$\exp(w) = e^w$$
 for any real no. w .

<u>Comment</u>: (1.3) = (1.4) because 'limit', if it 'exists' is unique.

Consequence 1

By (1.5), the equation

$$e^{\ln x} = x, \forall x > 0$$

is the same as

$$\exp(\ln x) = x, \forall x > 0$$

That is, "exp" is the inverse function of "ln" function.

Consequence 2

Using this, we get the formula $\ln(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \cdots$.

Now, we proceed to "prove" this (the proof is correct, but there are a lot of "holes" to be filled in!)

• Summary So Far

We have learned (i) polynomial (functions), (2) cosine, sine functions, (3) exp, ln functions, (4) $+, -, \times, \div$ of functions (• Note: when using \div , make sure that the denominator isn't zero!).

2. "Proof" of the Formula for logarithm

You can skip this section if you want. But <u>do</u> remember the formula for $\ln(1+x)$. It's important!

We start by considering the equation

 $e^{\ln(1+x)} = 1 + x$

for each 1 + x > 0.

By using the "product" definition of e^w (i.e. (1.2)), the left-hand side becomes

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\ln(1+x)}{n} \right)^n,$$

hence for large n, we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{\ln(1+x)}{n}\right)^n \approx 1 + x.$$

Taking nth root on both sides of this, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{\ln(1+x)}{n} \approx (1+x)^{1/n}$$

Now when the Generalized Binomial Theorem is applied to the righthand side of it, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{\ln(1+x)}{n} \approx 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{1!} \cdot x + \frac{\frac{1}{n}(\frac{1}{n}-1)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{\frac{1}{n}(\frac{1}{n}-1)(\frac{1}{n}-2)}{3!}x^3 + \cdots$$

implying (after canceling the term "1" on both sides)

$$\frac{\ln(1+x)}{n} \approx \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{1!} \cdot x + \frac{\frac{1}{n}(\frac{1}{n}-1)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{\frac{1}{n}(\frac{1}{n}-1)(\frac{1}{n}-2)}{3!}x^3 + \cdots$$

and implying (after multiplying by n on both sides)

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(1+x) &\approx n\left\{\frac{\frac{1}{n}}{1!} \cdot x + \frac{\frac{1}{n}(\frac{1}{n}-1)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{\frac{1}{n}(\frac{1}{n}-1)(\frac{1}{n}-2)}{3!}x^3 + \cdots\right\} \\ &\approx x + \frac{(\frac{1}{n}-1)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{(\frac{1}{n}-1)(\frac{1}{n}-2)}{3!}x^3 + \cdots \\ &\approx x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

when n is very large (because all the terms involving 1/n go to zero as <u>n</u> becomes indefinitely large (In symbol, the underlined phrase is written as $n \to \infty$)).

Comment

To make the above proof watertight, one has to put a lot more effort. We will not go into this, however!

4

3. Appendix

In this Appendix, we give some remarks on the inverse function g of any given function $f: D \to E$. (As always, D, E are subsets of the set of real nos., i.e. the set denoted by \mathbb{R} (or written as $(-\infty, \infty)$, or $\{x \mid -\infty < x < \infty\}$)

Inverse Function

Let $f: D \to E$ be a function, then another function $g: E \to D$ is an inverse function of f, provided that

(1) The range R(f) (defined below) of f is equal to the co-domain E;

This ensures that the domain of g is E.

(2) The function f is an injective function.

This ensures that each $y \in E$ has 1 and only 1 output, i.e. g(y)

In point (1) above, we mentioned the word "range". We now define it.

Range of a Function.

Let $f: D \to E$, then the range of f, denoted by the symbol R(f) is the set

$$R(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ y \in E \mid y = f(x), \text{ for some } x \in D \}$$

Comment

In daily language, the range of f is "the set of those y's reachable by all x in D". It is instructive to compare this way of talking about R(f) with the one which we wrote down above.

3.1. Conditions for Inverse Function.

3.1.1. First Condition for Inverse Function: R(f) = E. This condition says:

Given any $y \in E$, we can always find $x \in D$ satisfying

y = f(x)

(In other words, the equation y = f(x) has always a solution $x \in D$).

* Digression (How to Sketch Inverse Function)

In school math, we learned that to sketch inverse function of a given function f – one just "reflect" the curve (or "graph") of the function f (i.e. y = f(x)) about the straight line y = x. This method can be justified by (1) rotating the two axes (i.e. the x- and the y- axis counterclockwise by 90 degrees, then (2) change the negative y- axis back to the position of the positive y- axis by "reflecting" about the vertical axis.)[‡]

3.1.2. Second Condition for Inverse Function – Injectivity. In order for a function $f: D \to E$ to have inverse function, f needs also to be injective. By this we mean any f(x) in the range of f originates from one and only one element x.

The above description is, however, not too useful, so we reformulate it as

* Another Way of Defining Injective Function

 $f: D \to E$ is injective if whenever $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, then $x_1 = x_2$. Or more formally,

 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in D \mid \text{if } f(x_1) = f(x_2), \text{ then } x_1 = x_2.$

A convenient condition to guarantee injectivity: df/dx > 0Let $f: (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\frac{df}{dx}\Big|_{x=c} > 0$ for each c in (a, b). Then we will show that f is strictly increasing for each c in (a, b).

<u>Comment</u>:

The same holds if we replace > 0 by < 0 throughout the domain.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right)$$

6

[‡]The rotation of a point (x, y) in the xy-plane can be expressed using something called 2×2 matrix. If we denote a point (x, y) by a 2×1 (or "column") matrix, i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$, then the 90 degrees counterclockwise rotation can be shown to be given by the following object (known as 2×2 matrix):

Since 'f is strictly increasing in (a, b)' \implies 'f is injective' (Question: Why? Can you prove it?), to show injectivity, we only need to show $\frac{df}{dx}\Big|_{x=c} > 0$ for each point c in the domain. This motivates us to study derivative of a function.

E.g.

Show that the function $f: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x}$ has inverse function $g: \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$.

Solution

(1) the range R(f) is equal to the set \mathbb{R} , because for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$y = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x}$$

$$\implies x^2 - xy - 1 = 0$$

$$\implies x = \frac{y \pm \sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{2}$$

$$\implies x = \frac{y + \sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{2}$$

(the last line comes from our requirement that x > 0). Hence x can be solved for each y > 0

(2) Next, we can compute (alternatively, we can see from the formula in point (1) that x is uniquely determined by y)

$$\frac{d(x - (1/x))}{dx}$$

at each $x \in (0, \infty)$ to see that $\frac{df}{dx} > 0$, hence f is injective.

Conclusion

The function $f(x) = (x^2 - 1)/x$ from the domain $(0, \infty)$ to the range \mathbb{R} has an inverse function.

(Question: What is the formula for this inverse function?)

4. A Word on Piecewise Defined Functions

Some students asked me the question: "What is the absolute value function?" This function, just as many other functions in this course, are known as "piecewise defined" functions. They are defined by <u>different</u> rules on <u>different</u> regions of the domain.

E.g.

$$f(x) = |x| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} -x & \text{if} & x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if} & x = 0 \\ x & \text{if} & x > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

<u>Comment</u>: This function is from the domain \mathbb{R} to the co-domain \mathbb{R} . In the region $(-\infty, 0)$ the function is given by -x, in the region $\{0\}$, the function is given by 0 and in the region $(0, \infty)$, it is given by x.

This function gives rise to a curve y = |x| which is V-shaped.

Question. Sketch the curve given by y = |1 - |x||. Compare it with the curve $y = (1 - x^2)^2$.

8